

Reviewer: _____
 Presenter: _____
 Title: _____

Group # ____ Poster # ____

Points	Rubric	Comments
____/5	Title Concisely describes content of poster Header is tidy	
____/10	Introduction Cites research Relates to questions	
____/5	Questions Follow from introduction Stated clearly	
____/5	Methods Data source Variables and coding Enough detail about methods to understand the results	
____/15	Results Appropriate analysis, assumptions met, sensible decisions leading to final model	
____/5	Conclusions follow from evidence	
____/10	Graphs Appropriate for comparisons being made Well labeled	
____/10	Discussion Connects results to introduction Interprets results Next steps	
____/6	References Minimum of 3	
____/4	Relevant to intro/discussion	
____/5	Presentation 5 min presentation (-1 for each minute over, does not include time for questions)	
____/5	Enthusiastic, makes eye contact with audience	
____/5	Responses to questions demonstrate knowledge of subject matter	
____/5	Responses to questions demonstrate knowledge of statistical approach	
____/5	Presenter seems engaged in their research and interested in sharing findings	
____/100	Total	

Additional comments: