

1. (2pt) Refer to (71.) on page 12 of your notes. Verify

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}$$

(assuming the proposed linear model is correct).

2. (2pt) Refer to (73.) on page 12 of your notes. Verify $E(\mathbf{b}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ (assuming the proposed linear model is correct).
3. (2pt) Refer to (74.) on page 12 of your notes. Verify

$$E[(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})'] = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}.$$

4. (2pt) In (73.) on page 12 of your notes, the claim is that $E(\mathbf{b}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ if the model true model is $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$ is the least squares estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Suppose, however, that the true model contains additional parameters given by vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$. Therefore, the “true” linear model should be

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{X}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0$ and $\text{var}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}_n$.

Suppose \mathbf{X} is $n \times p$ and \mathbf{X}_2 is $n \times p_2$. Show $E(\mathbf{b}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$, where $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}_2$ and \mathbf{b} is the least squares estimator of $\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

5. (8pt) Problem 2.6, page 65 (The data is on the Stat 578 course web page). In part (a), you should fit the first-order model. In addition to parts (a) and (b),
- Check for model lack-of-fit and for serious collinearity problems.
6. (5pt) Problem 2.12, page 78.
7. (3pt) Remove the last two observations in the data set for Problem 2.6. Refit the first-order model and compare these results to the results from the analysis of the full data set. Do the results seem consistent? Justify your answer.

Extra Credit (3pt) PROC GLM output for the interaction model using all five variables X1, X2, X3, X4, and X5 is given on the back of this page. In the ANOVA table, you see that several terms have 0 degrees of freedom and 0 sum of squares. However, in the ANOVA results generated earlier for the first-order model, the sum of squares for X1 is positive.

Why did this happen? Be as specific as possible. Hint: Run this model yourself and look at all of the output.

Dependent Variable: PITCH

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|-----------------|----|----------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Model | 14 | 0.00427555 | 0.00030540 | 101.95 | <.0001 |
| Error | 17 | 0.00005092 | 0.00000300 | | |
| Corrected Total | 31 | 0.00432647 | | | |

R-Square 0.988230
 Coeff Var 6.585450
 Root MSE 0.001731
 PITCH Mean 0.026281

| Source | DF | Type III SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr > F |
|--------|----|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| X1 | 0 | 0.00000000 | . | . | . |
| X2 | 1 | 0.00003258 | 0.00003258 | 10.88 | 0.0042 |
| X1*X2 | 1 | 0.00003326 | 0.00003326 | 11.10 | 0.0039 |
| X3 | 1 | 0.00000801 | 0.00000801 | 2.67 | 0.1205 |
| X1*X3 | 1 | 0.00000817 | 0.00000817 | 2.73 | 0.1170 |
| X2*X3 | 1 | 0.00001857 | 0.00001857 | 6.20 | 0.0234 |
| X4 | 0 | 0.00000000 | . | . | . |
| X1*X4 | 0 | 0.00000000 | . | . | . |
| X2*X4 | 1 | 0.00000201 | 0.00000201 | 0.67 | 0.4236 |
| X3*X4 | 1 | 0.00001406 | 0.00001406 | 4.69 | 0.0448 |
| X5 | 0 | 0.00000000 | . | . | . |
| X1*X5 | 0 | 0.00000000 | . | . | . |
| X2*X5 | 1 | 0.00000316 | 0.00000316 | 1.05 | 0.3190 |
| X3*X5 | 1 | 0.00000215 | 0.00000215 | 0.72 | 0.4086 |
| X4*X5 | 1 | 0.00000258 | 0.00000258 | 0.86 | 0.3667 |

